

REMARKS

The Examiner objects to the drawings.

The application includes claims 1-20. The Examiner rejects claims 9-15 under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter that applicant regards as the invention. The Examiner rejects claims 1-20 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by Nevoux et alii (U.S. patent number 5,661,806A). The Examiner rejects claim 13 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Nevoux in view of Data Dictionary.

The applicants amend claims 1-6 and 8-19. The application remains with claims 1-20.

The applicants add no new matter and request reconsideration.

Drawing Objections

The Examiner indicates that the figure 1 fails to show the reference characters "Network 10", "LAN 12", LAN 14", "18", "20", "22" and "36" as described in the specification. The applicants provide a corrected drawing and amend the specification to obviate the Examiner's objection.

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. §112

The applicants amend claim 9 to obviate the Examiner rejection. In particular, the applicants amend claim 9 to clarify that the authentication server means enables the wireless access means' access to the wired computer LAN. The applicants amend claim 10 to provide antecedent basis to the wireless device (now amended to read wireless means).

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. §102

Claim 9 recites *a firewall means to control access to a wired computer LAN*. Claims 1 and 16 include a similar limitation.

Nevoux does not disclose a firewall means as recited. And Nevoux does not disclose a wired computer LAN. Rather, Nevoux discloses the European cellular radio *telephone* network including the *telephone* network STN. Nevoux, column 1, lines 22-23, and column 3, line 9.

Claim 9 recites an authentication server means ...to enable... access ...to the wired computer LAN after *authenticating the access means, the wireless device, and the operator* without going through the *firewall means*. Claims 1 and 16 include a similar limitation.

Nevoux does not disclose authenticating the access means, the wireless device, and the operator. Nevoux discloses a "process of combined authentication of a telecommunication terminal and of a user module." Nevoux title, abstract, and column 5, lines 26-27. Never does Nevoux disclose a system in which its base system BS and the base system channel BSC are authenticated together with the terminal PA and the module SIM.


And Nevoux does not an authentication server means that authenticates without going through the firewall since, as we explain above, Nevoux does not disclose a firewall in the first instance.

Conclusion

The applicants request reconsideration and allowance of all claims as amended. The applicants encourage the Examiner to telephone the undersigned at (503) 222-3613 if it appears that an interview would be helpful in advancing the case.

Respectfully submitted,


MARGER JOHNSON & McCOLLOM, P.C.



Graciela G. Cowger
Reg. No. 42,444

MARGER JOHNSON & McCOLLOM, P.C.
1030 SW Morrison Street
Portland, OR 97205
(503) 222-3613
Customer No. 20575

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
Date: October 28, 2003



Lauren Ballard-Gernell